DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 445 445 EC 308 049

AUTHOR Gamble, David

TITLE An Analysis of Disability Support Services in Higher

Education.

PUB DATE 2000-00-00

NOTE 9p.

PUB TYPE Information Analyses (070) -- Reports - General (140)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Administrator Role; Civil Rights Legislation; College Role;

*Disabilities; *Disability Discrimination; Educational Responsibility; Eligibility; *Federal Legislation; Higher Education; *Legal Responsibility; *Student Personnel

Services; Student Responsibility

IDENTIFIERS *Americans with Disabilities Act 1990; *Rehabilitation Act

1973 (Section 504)

ABSTRACT

This paper analyzes disability support services in higher education to provide administrators with a clearer understanding of disability support services and the legal requirements for their institutions to provide accommodations to students with disabilities. It begins by discussing the rapid growth in the number of college students with disabilities and in the number of post-secondary disability service providers. Requirements under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 are reviewed and include the stipulation that any post-secondary institutions receiving federal dollars must provide qualified students with disabilities an equal educational opportunity. Provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act are also described, including the assurance that an individual with a disability cannot be prevented from competing with others as long as he or she meets the same qualifications. The obligation of the student with a disability to identify and document the disabling condition and to request reasonable accommodations is discussed, along with possible accommodations. The paper closes by describing the role of the disability service administrator in determining student eligibility for protection, such as analyzing documentation to ensure that it supports the claim of disability, deciding the nature of reasonable accommodations, and developing institutional policies and procedures. (CR)



Running head: DISABILITY SERVICES IN HIGHER EDUCATION

SCOPE OF INTEREST NOTICE

The ERIC Facility has assigned this document for processing



In our judgment, this document is elso of interest to the Clearinghouses noted to the right. Indexing should reflect their special points of view.

An Analysis of Disability Support Services in Higher Education

David Gamble

The University of Alabama

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC) This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization

originating it. ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Abstract

Due to the significant growth in the number of students with disabilities entering higher education and the accompanying increase in higher education disability personnel who ensure these students are provided equal educational opportunity, it is important for higher education administrators to understand the roles, functions, responsibilities, and goals of higher education disability service providers. Higher education administrators must also be aware of the knowledge and skills required of disability support services personnel in post-secondary education. The purpose of this article is to provide an analysis of disability support services in higher education so that administrators have a clearer understanding of these services and the legal requirements for their institutions to provide accommodations to students with disabilities. Through this analysis, it is hoped that higher education administrators will have the knowledge necessary to ensure that their institutions effectively serve students with disabilities.



An Analysis of Disability Support Services in Higher Education

As the number of students with disabilities successfully completing their secondary education has grown, there has been a corresponding increase in the number of students with disabilities continuing their studies at a college or university (Dukes & Shaw, 1999). The number of full-time college freshman with disabilities has reached 9.2%, up from 2.6% in 1978 (Szymanski, Hewitt, Watson, & Swett, 1999). Growth in the number of students with disabilities entering higher education has fueled a need for post-secondary personnel who work to ensure these students are provided equal educational opportunity (Dukes & Shaw, 1999). Disability support services at colleges and universities play an important role in facilitating access of students with disabilities to higher education (Szymanski et al., 1999).

The rapid growth in both the number of college students with disabilities as well as the number of post-secondary disability service providers has been heavily influenced by the passage of legislation protecting the rights of individuals with disabilities (Dukes & Shaw, 1999). This legislation impacts directly on the university's responsibility to make education accessible to students with disabilities (Frank & Wade, 1993). For instance, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 stipulates that any post-secondary institution receiving federal dollars must provide qualified students with disabilities an equal educational opportunity (Dukes & Shaw, 1999). Post-secondary institutions must make such modifications to their academic requirements as are necessary to ensure that such requirements do not discriminate or have the effect of discriminating, on the basis of disability, against a qualified student with a disability (Frank & Wade). These modifications may include appropriate academic adjustments such as the provision of course substitutions, adaptation of instruction methods, and modifications in the length of time for the completion of requirements; or the provision of auxiliary aids such as taped texts, sign



language interpreters, guide dogs, use of tape recorders, readers or writers (Bursuck, Rose, Cowen, & Yahaya, 1989). If needed, the preceding modifications must be provided in order to ensure that qualified students with a disabilities have the opportunity for full and equal participation and benefit at the higher education institution (Frank & Wade, 1993).

The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), signed into law in 1990, extends the Rehabilitation Act's anti-discrimination prohibition to all private and public colleges and universities regardless of receipt of federal funds (Frank & Wade, 1993). The ADA is an outcome-neutral law that simply ensures that an individual with a disability will not be prevented from competing with others as long as he or she meets the same qualifications (Gordon & Keiser, 1998). For colleges and universities already covered by the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the ADA will not effect many changes, since most of the ADA's terms, concepts, and definitions come directly from the implementing regulations of Section 504 (Frank & Wade, 1993). Under both the ADA and the Rehabilitation Act, the provision of services to students with disabilities in postsecondary education places legal obligations on the student with the disability, the post-secondary institution, and sometimes the state vocational rehabilitation agency (Frank & Wade, 1993). With regard to modifications, it is important to note that the Rehabilitation Act and the ADA allow for institutional flexibility in choosing the methods by which academic adjustments and auxiliary aids are supplied, and post-secondary institutions are not required to provide devices or services of a personal nature (Frank & Wade, 1993).

It is the obligation of the student with a disability to identify and document the disabling condition and to request reasonable accommodations (Gordon & Keiser, 1998). Most institutions stipulate that the documentation not be more than 3 years old (Gordon & Keiser, 1998). This reflects the need to establish current impairment. Once a student has identified a disabling



condition in a timely manner, has documented it adequately, and has requested specific academic adjustments and auxiliary aids, it is then the obligation of the post-secondary institution to determine what, if any, academic adjustments and auxiliary aids are appropriate for the disabling condition (Frank & Wade, 1993). Such decisions must also take into consideration the essential nature of the educational program in question (Frank & Wade, 1993). For example, post-secondary institutions are not obligated to waive course requirements, or academic or non-academic standards, as long as they can be shown to be essential to the program of study (Frank & Wade, 1993).

The disability services professional in higher education wears many different professional hats. Individuals in this role must possess skills and knowledge in the areas of administration, direct service, consultation/collaboration, and institutional awareness (McGuire, 1998). Disability service administrators interact with students on a regular basis. Whether in the initial intake interview or as part of an ongoing supportive relationship, disability service administrators play a pivotal role in ensuring equal access within the context of reasonable accommodations (McGuire, 1998). According to McGuire (1998), disability service administrators must determine a student's eligibility for protection under the ADA/Section 504, analyze documentation to ensure that it reasonably supports the claim of disability, decide the nature of reasonable accommodations on a case-by-case basis, and develop institutional policies and procedures. In 1994, the process of developing professional standards, program standards, and a code of ethics for post-secondary disability personnel was initiated (Dukes & Shaw, 1999). Professional standards and a code of ethics are now in place (Dukes & Shaw, 1999). In a survey of Offices for Students with Disabilities, respondents indicated that they were most often trained in counseling (26%), law (17%), or social work (17%). Special education (16%), higher education (14%), and



rehabilitation counseling (13%) followed closely (Dukes & Shaw, 1999). Given this diversity, ongoing professional training is critical if disability services personnel are to ethically and fairly discharge the duties of their position (McGuire, 1998).

Disability services personnel must also work closely with faculty in order to ensure that qualified students with disabilities are not discriminated against. Stage and Milne (1996) observed that college faculty often have negative attitudes and perceptions toward students with disabilities. Studies have found faculty unwillingness to allow extra time for examinations and papers for students with learning disabilities (Stage & Milne, 1996). Other researchers maintain that students with physical disabilities are often treated differently and thus receive a different level of education (Hart & Williams, 1995). Faculty often appear more anxious about accommodating students with learning disabilities for fear of lowering academic standards (Szymanski et al., 1999). Disability support services clearly plays a role in faculty willingness to accommodate. Faculty need additional information on what to expect as well as how to manage and accept the differences between those with disabilities and those without disabilities (Hart & Williams, 1995). Research has concluded that faculty would like to be more involved with the process of service provision and have more frequent interactions with the office of disability support services (Dukes & Shaw, 1999). Faculty have also expressed a general desire to be better educated regarding issues relevant to students with disabilities (Dukes & Shaw, 1999). Szymanski et al. (1999) found that the services of a disability service office are important to faculty and instructional academic staff. Therefore, it is recommended that future research address the need for training of university faculty by disability student services personnel in order to ensure that students with disabilities have equal access to post-secondary education.



Because issues of eligibility, equal access, and reasonable accommodations are so complex, it is appropriate for higher education personnel to collaborate in ensuring nondiscriminatory but sensible treatment of students with disabilities (McGuire, 1998). Keeping the process fair requires collaboration between students, disability services personnel, higher education administrators, and faculty. Disability support services are uniquely designed to uphold reasonable standards and strict compliance with the law. In order to ensure that qualified students with disabilities have the opportunity for full and equal participation and benefit at post-secondary institutions, higher education administrators must have a detailed understanding of disability support services. Such knowledge will help protect the integrity of the entire process, thereby benefitting the student and university alike.



References

Bursuck, W. D., Rose, E., Cowen, S., & Yahaya, M. A. (1989). Nationwide survey of post-secondary education services for students with learning disabilities. <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 56(3), 236-245.

Dukes, L. L., & Shaw, S. F. (1999). Post-secondary disability personnel: Professional standards and staff development. <u>Journal of Developmental Education</u>, 23(1), 26-31.

Frank, K., & Wade, P. (1993). Disabled student services in post-secondary education: Who's responsible for what? <u>Journal of College Student Development</u>, 34, 26-30.

Gordon, M., & Keiser, S. (1998). Underpinnings. In M. Gordon & S. Keiser (Eds.),

Accommodations in higher education under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) (pp. 319). DeWitt, NY: GSI Publications.

Hart, R. D., & Williams, D. E. (1995). Able-bodied instructors and students with physical disabilities: A relationship handicapped by communication. <u>Communication Education</u>, 44, 140-154.

McGuire, J. (1998). Educational accommodations: A university administrator's view. In M. Gordon & S. Keiser (Eds.), <u>Accommodations in higher education under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)</u> (pp. 20-45). DeWitt, NY: GSI Publications.

Stage, F. K., & Milne, N. V. (1996). Invisible scholars: Students with learning disabilities.

Journal of Higher Education, 67(4), 426-445.

Szymanksi, E. M., Hewitt, G. J., Watson, E. A., & Swett, E. A. (1999). Faculty and instructor perception of disability support services and student communication. <u>Career</u>

<u>Development of Exceptional Individuals</u>, 22(1), 117-128.





U.S. Department of Education Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)

National Library of Education (NLE) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



Reproduction Release

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: An Analysis of Disability Support Services in High	ner Education
Author(s): David Gamble	
Corporate Source: The University of Alabama	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Resources in Education (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign in the indicated space following.



The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to al Level 2B documents
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTSO BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DESSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANGED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCĂTIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
Level 1	Level 2A	Level 2B
x	†	†
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g. electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only
	ents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction of reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents wi	
		
disseminate this document as indicate other than ERIC employees and its s	esources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusted above. Reproduction from the ERIC micropystem contractors requires permission from the contractors requires and other service agencies to satisfy information.	ofiche, or electronic media by persons the copyright holder. Exception is made
Signature: David W. Jaw	Printed Name/Position/Title: David Gamble/Case Advocate	
Organization/Address: Alabama Disabilities Advocacy Program (ADAP)	Telephone: (205) 348–4928	Fax: (205) 348-3909
Box 870395 Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-039	E-mail Address: dgamble@law.ua.edu	Date: 8/11/00

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)



Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:
Name:
Address:
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:
ERIC Processing and Reference Facility

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility 4483-A Forbes Boulevard Lanham, Maryland 20706 Telephone: 301-552-4200 Toll Free: 800-799-3742

e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

EFF-088 (Rev. 9/97)

